



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,714	10/03/2003	Constantin Mihai	MSFT-2558/305312.1	9867
41505 7590 10/16/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			EXAMINER PHAM, KHANH B	
			ART UNIT 2166	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/678,714

Applicant(s)

MIHAI ET AL.

Examiner

Khanh B. Pham

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance, except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,8,12,13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,8,12,13,15 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 12, 13, 15, 16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kan et al. (US 7,171,415 B2), hereinafter "**Kan**".

As per claims 1, 5, 12, 13, Kan teaches a method and system (See Fig.2) for a search framework (114) to provide search functionality to a web server (108, 112) across at least two search providers (120C, 120D), the search framework (114) being interposed between the web server and each of the search providers, said method comprising :

- "registering a first search method for performing searches on a first of said at least two search providers; registering a second search method for performing searches on a second of said at least two search providers" at Col. 7 lines 40-57;
- "registering a first response format for receiving search results from said first search provider; register a second response format for receiving search results from said second search provider" at Col. 8 lines 50-67;

- “detecting a request to the web server for a search on a selected search provider from among said first search provider and said second search provider, said selected search provider corresponding to a corresponding search method from among said first search method and said second search method” at Col. 8 lines 10-25;
- “providing to the web server a ubiquitous search method for performing said search utilizing said corresponding search method, the ubiquitous search method being generic with regard to any of the first and second search providers and the corresponding search methods thereof” at Col. 8 lines 10-25 and Col. 14 lines 51-67
- “responding to a call from the web server to said ubiquitous search method by performing said search on the selected search provider utilizing said corresponding search method” at Col. 8 lines 10-25;
- “receiving a search result from the selected search provider in a response format corresponding to said selected search provider” at Col. 8 lines 20-30, and
- “providing said received search result to the web server in a ubiquitous format, the ubiquitous format being generic with regard to any of the first and second search providers and the corresponding format thereof” at Col. 13 line 45 to Col. 14 line 7 and Col. 14 line 51 to Col. 15 line 2.

As per claim 3, Kan teaches the method of claim 1, wherein "said method comprises reading search provider data regarding said first search provider and said second search provider from a configuration file" at Col. 9 lines 45-55.

As per claim 4, Kan teaches the method of claim 3, wherein "said method is implemented in conjunction with a web server, and where said configuration file is a web.config file" at Col. 9 lines 45-55 and Col. 11 lines 28-35.

As per claims 7, 15, Kan teaches the method and system of claims 5, 13, wherein "said step of providing said first and said second search results comprises: returning said first and said second search results in a unified format" at Col. 14 lines 55-60.

As per claims 8, 16, Kan teaches the method and system of claims 7, 15, where "said step of detecting a request for a search on said first search provider and second search provider comprises receiving response format data, and where said step of providing said first and said second search results comprises: returning said first and second search results according to said response format data" at Col. 13 line 45 to Col. 14 line 7 and Col. 14 lines 55-60.

3. Applicant's arguments filed July 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments.

search providers 120C, 120D.



Applicant argued that Kan system does not teach “detecting a request to the web server for a search on one or more particular search provider”. On the contrary, Kan teaches at Col. 8 lines 10-25 the step of sending a query form consumer 140 to the hub

100, and the resolver 102 determines qualified providers to forward the query to. Therefore, Kan's system allows a searcher to search at a particular search providers based on the submitted query, wherein the particular search providers is the qualified providers determined by the resolver 102. Kan further teaches at Col. 34 lines 1-14 some attributes that may be included in a query request message including "Number of hits expected from a provider" and "number of providers to forward the query to", which implies that user/searcher has full control of the attributes included in a query request message.

In response to applicant's argument that Kan does not disclose the use of any ubiquitous or common format for a search method for a query or a ubiquitous format for search results that is employed by a centralized search framework, the examiner respectfully submits that Kan teaches at Col. 14 line 48 to Col. 15 line 2 a provider proxy 114 performs translation of queries formatted according to the query routing protocol (i.e., "ubiquitous" or "common format") to specific search engine format for a provider and performs translation of responses (i.e., search result) formatted according to the specific search engine formats into response formatted according to the query routing protocol (i.e., "common format"):

In another embodiment, the provider proxy 114 may perform translation of queries formatted according to the query routing protocol to specific search engine formats for a provider 120, and may also perform translation of responses formatted according to the specific search engine

formats into responses formatted according to the query routing protocol.

(Col. 14 line 63 to Col. 15 line 2)

In light of the foregoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C 102 rejection is hereby sustained.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-4116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khanh B. Pham
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2166

October 12, 2007

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kpham', is written over a horizontal line.